Previous references.

1903.
(Genl Finance)

File No. 71 of 03-4

Serial Nos. 1--5

Later references.

Sls 6 & 7 of this
file

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1— 5

812/E

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Claim for Rs 200 due to Venkatramanappa and Ranjundappa on account of pendal erected at Seringapatam on the occasion of the visit of H.E. The Viceroy, and advance of Rs 333-8-6 on this account and other petty charges

Sl 1 Letter dt 22.7.03 from William Hayes, Esq. Demands immediate payment of the sum of Rs 200 due to his clients Venkatramanappa and Ranjundappa

2 H 246 dt 27.7.03 to the D.C. Mysore Forwards a copy of the above for early report

3 574 dt 3.8.03 from the D.C. Mysore Reports on the above cited stating that the claim is just and valid

G. R. S. 58 4 H 456 dt 14.8.03 To Wm Hayes Esqr Barrister-at-Law Informs him that the D.C. Mysore has been instructed to make the payment of the amount

LOCAL

WILLIAM HAYES
BARRISTER AT LAW

Registered for Acknowledgment

Chambers No. 2 Union Street
Civil and Military Station
Bangalore 22nd July 1903

Rec'd 24/7/03
Is there any reference about the pendency of the case reported to Govt?
24/7/03

From
WILLIAM HAYES Esquire
BARRISTER AT LAW

To H. V. Nunjundayya Esquire M.A., M.L.
Secretary to
The Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

Re Venkatramanappa and Nunjundappa
vs
The Government of Mysore

It is represented to me by my clients, Venkatramanappah and Nunjundappa, Cloth Merchants Doddapet, City, Bangalore, as follows:—

(1) That they had been directed by the Amildar of Seringapatam on behalf of the Government of Mysore to erect a pandal (supplying the necessary material and labour at Seringapatam during the visit of H. E. The Viceroy and Governor General of India in December 1900;

(2) That they had accordingly erected the said pandal, the cost thereof having been of Rs 424-3-4;

(3) That they had (since as directed) submitted a Bill for this amount to the Amildar of Seringapatam, but that Officer has not adjusted the said Bill in part only, by payment of the sum of Rs 224-[illegible] thereby leaving the balance of Rs 200/- thereon;

(4) That they had submitted about five Petitions in all to the Amildar of Seringa[illegible]

to the Sub-Divisional Officer of French Rocks, the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, and even to the Dewan, respectively in this connection, but (although the Amildar of Seringapatam had replied to my this amount would be adjusted after sanction obtained from Government) nevertheless the same remains unadjusted up to date.

Under the circumstances as above set forth I am now instructed to demand from the Government of Mysore through you the immediate payment of the said sum of Rs 200/- as also the sum of Rs 15/-, my fee for this communication

I am further instructed to give the Government of Mysore through you, that if the said sum of Rs 200/- plus the said sum of Rs 15/- be not paid to my clients on or before the 25th instant, legal proceedings, and as advised, will forthwith thereafter be instituted against the Government of Mysore through you for the recovery thereof with all costs, without further notice of demand.

This notice is given to you agreeably to the provisions of Section 424 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

The cause of action accrued to my clients in the month of December 1900.

My clients carry on business in Doddapet, City, Bangalore.

The suit above referred to will be filed on or before the 23rd day of September 1903 (that is to say two months after date of this communication)

I am also instructed to state that in the event of a suit being filed my clients will claim and demand damages by way of interest for having been kept out of their money all this while.

I am, Sir,

Yours faithfully

Richard Hayden

Counsel for Venkatarama-naiah and Narayana Rao

7(12)

22/7/03.

190

From Wells & Hayes Esqrs
Barristers at law
Counsel for Venkatramanabha &
Narayanabha Cothbunds

To Wodeyar, Bangalore.

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 22/7/03

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Issuing a notice for the balance of Rs 200/ out of Rs 424/3/6 expended for the erection of a Pandal in Seringapatam during the visit of H. E. the Viceroy in December 1900.

References to be marked.

D

No. H. 246

To 27/7-03.

The Dy. Commissioner,

Dharwar.

Has the honor to forward herewith for early report ~~letter~~ Copy of letter dated 22nd July 1903 from Mr William Hayes, Barrister-at-law, asking the immediate payt of Rs 200/- due to Venkatramanappa and Vanjindappa, for supplying the necessary materials & labor for erecting a pandal at Seringapatam on the occasion of H.E. the Viceroy's visit in 1900.

By order

25/7/03

25/7/03

24/7

Rec'd 25-7-03 @ 5 P.M.

Please return this borne after issue for issuing reminders

190 -90

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
7 AUG. 1903
GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

From

The Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated 3d. } August 03
Despatched 4th }
Received }

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 54.

Reporting upon the notice issued by Mr. W. Hayes, Barrister-at-Law, claiming a sum of Rs 200 from Government on behalf of his clients Venkatanarayana and Ranjundappa for having erected a Pendal at Seringapatam

No.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

Enclosures No.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Mysore 3rd. August 1903.

From M. Kantaraj Urs, Esq. B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner of Mysore.

To H. V. Nanjundayya, Esq. M.A. M.L.,
~~The~~ Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Referring to your docket No. Jl. 246, dated 27th. July 1903, forwarding for report, a notice issued by Mr. W. Hayes, Barrister-at-Law, claiming a sum of ~~Rs.~~ 200/- from Government on behalf of his clients Venkataramanappa and Nanjundappa for having erected a Pandal at Srirangapatam on the occasion of His Excellency the Viceroy's visit in the year 1900, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. The claim put forward by the individuals named above is valid and the work in question was executed at the instance of the Amildar of Srirangapatam on the said occasion. It is true that these men have presented several petitions representing their claim and two petitions presented to Government have been forwarded to this Office for disposal

Vide

(Vide Secretary's memo No. Pol. 1237, dated 4th January 1902). The previous references show that the last petition was presented to this Office in August 1902. The matter seems to have been subsequently lost sight of, the Officials of the Account Branch of the District Office having banked the previous references.

x and petition 260 dated 21st July 1902

3. On unearthing the previous record and examining the accounts, I find that the last bill connected with those advances, was submitted to Government with this Office letter No. 767, dated 17th March 1902, in which no mention is made of the amount due to Nanjundappa and Venkataramanappa, as also of a few other petty claims which I now learn are still outstanding.

4. Before giving my reply to your docket quoted above, I wanted to close the accounts relating to the Viceregal reception in the year 1900, once for all, but there is some difficulty in the matter. The vouchers subsequently received from the Amildars

do

do not tally with the balance of advances (viz. ~~No~~ 38.11.0) shown as outstanding against this District in Government Proceedings No. ~~No~~ 73-9, dated 12th July 1902. Evidently some errors appear to have crept into the bills already submitted to and sanctioned by Government. More than a dozen Officers employed on special duty, have on different dates submitted vouchers to this Office and they have all been jumbled up together in those bills. A minute and searching examination has to be made of all the old bills, reports, Vouchers and Treasury accounts, before the errors could be discovered and this involves patient labour of more than a fortnight. I have therefore thought it advisable to defer my final reply in the matter till about the beginning of the next month.

5. In adjusting the claim of the petitioner Nanjundappa however, there seems to be no difficulty. A kind reference to this Office letter

No. 767

No. 767, dated 17th March 1902, will show that out of the total advance of Rupees 1000/- sanctioned in Government Proceedings No. 8965.7 / Fe 913, dated 8th May 1901, a sum of Rupees 333-8-6 remains undrawn in the Treasury. But as more than two years have elapsed since that advance was sanctioned, I am obliged to seek fresh sanction for the same. If Government are pleased to accord sanction for the said amount, I shall see that the amount is at once paid to the party. As regards the fees claimed by the Barrister, I shall recover the same from the Officials in the Account Department responsible for the inordinate delay and remit the same to him soon after the advance is sanctioned by Government.

I have the honor to be,

Your most Obedient servant

[signature]

To The Deputy Commissioner of Myso

Comptr

Office Draft. (Docket.)

The Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat,
Revenue Branch.

To

W. Hayes Sogre
Barrister-at-Law.

Date of passing the draft 14/8/03.
Date of despatch

Regd. No. R H 456
File No. & date (if any) SCP

No. of Encls.

Adverting to his letter dated 22d July 1903 requesting payment of Rs 20/- on behalf of Venkatramanappa & Nanjundappa for supplying materials for the erection of a Pandal at Seringapatam on the occasion of H.E. the Viceroy's visit in 1900, has the honor to ~~state~~ inform him that the Dy. Commissioner Mysore, has been instructed to pay the amount legally due.

By Order

N
10/8/03

for Secy 14/8

RN 10/7/1903.

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

Revenue Branch

File No. (if any) and its date—

No. H 457-8

8/14/8/03

14

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

H S

Read: Letter dated 22d July 1903 from Mr. William Hayes, Bar-at-Law, requesting payment of Rs 200-0 on behalf of Venkatramanappa & Ranjundappa for supplying materials for the erection of a pandal at Seringapatam on the occasion of H. E. the Viceroy's visit in 1900.

2) Letter No. 52 dated 3d August 1903 from the Dy. Commissioner, Mysore Dist.

Order:-

On a reference made to the Dy. Commissioner, Mysore Dist. in connection with the claim preferred by Mr. Hayes, he reports that the claim is valid but was lost sight of, that he finds on examination of the accounts, a few other petty claims still outstanding and requests fresh sanction ~~for~~ to Rs 333-8-6 which remained undrawn out of Rs 1000 sanctioned in Progs No. 8465-7 dated 8th August 1901. ~~He further states that the few claimed by~~

barrister will be recovered from the officials responsible for the delay.

Under the circumstances, an advance of Rs 333-8-6 is sanctioned and the Dy. Commissioner is requested to adjust the same at a very early date.

subject to adjustment at an early date.

The claim on behalf of Venkatramanappa & Ranjundappa must be fully satisfies at once

~~The claim on behalf of Venkatramanappa & Ranjundappa must be fully satisfies at once~~

The claim on behalf of Venkatramanappa & Ranjundappa must be fully satisfied at once

K
10/8/03
Secretary

To The Comptroller, Mysore.
" Dy. Commissioner,
Tumkur

~~Mr. to Mysore Bar at Law~~
Mr. 10/8

~~Rs~~ 14/8/3.
Imm K
14/8/03